



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

			-			
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/698,249	10/30/2000 -	Dengwei Fu	1997.0010003	6244		
75	7590 12/17/2003			EXAMINER		
STERNE, KES	STERNE, KESSLER, GOLDSTEIN & FOX P.L.L.C.			MAI, TAN V		
Attorneys at Lav	w					
Suite 600		•	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER			
1100 New York	Avenue, N.W.		2124			
Washington, DC 20005-3934			DATE MAILED: 12/17/2003	$_{3}$ l^{O}		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)	0			
		09/698,249	FU ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Tan V Mai	2124				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicat or Reply	ion appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address				
THE I - Externafter - If the - If NC - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 31 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) data period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuto re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ation. 1 cys, a reply within the statutory minimum of thing period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI by statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	cation.			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed o	n <u>28 August 2003</u> .					
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)	☐ This action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice u			ts is			
Dispositi	ion of Claims			•			
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	 Claim(s) 1-36 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 20-31 is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) 1-19 is/are allowed. Claim(s) 32-34 is/are rejected. Claim(s) 35 and 36 is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
	on Papers	rand/or election requirement.					
	·						
10)	The specification is objected to by the E: The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to n to the drawing(s) be held in abeya correction is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.1	` '			
*	The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-15	2.			
	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
a)[* S 13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority doc 2. Certified copies of the priority doc 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International see the attached detailed Office action for the cknowledgment is made of a claim for dince a specific reference was included in 7 CFR 1.78. 1) The translation of the foreign languate the company of the foreign languate the company of the foreign languate the company of the first sentence was included in the first sentence was included in the first sentence.	cuments have been received. cuments have been received in A ne priority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). or a list of the certified copies not comestic priority under 35 U.S.C. the first sentence of the specific age provisional application has b comestic priority under 35 U.S.C.	Application No In received in this National Stage It received. It is \$ 119(e) (to a provisional application or in an Application Data It is seen received. It is seen the series of the series	ication) Sheet.			
Attachmen	t(s)						
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-tnation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper	948) 5) Notice of I	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/698,249

Art Unit: 2124

- 1. (Without Traverse) Applicant's election without traverse of Group I, Claims 1-19 and 32-36, in Paper No. 8 is acknowledged.
- 2. The examiner respectfully requests all the copy of technical references cited in Information Disclosure Statement filed on8-28-03.
- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 32-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Robinson et al.

As per claim 32, Robinson et al disclose the invention substantially as claimed, e.g., see Fig. 5, including: the "binary number electrical signals" are "divided" to "subgroup", i.e., "most significant bit", "next two two most significant bits",... (e.g., see abstract). These features are considered the claimed "determining at least two subangles, the combination of which subangles represent the polar angle φ ". It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to design the claimed invention according to Robinson et al's teachings because the reference is a Cartesian coordinates to polar coordinates circuit as claimed

As per claim 33, the claim adds "memory device". Robinson et al do show Sin/Cos PROM (22).

Application/Control Number: 09/698,249

Art Unit: 2124

ģ

As per claim 34, the claim adds "using a trigonometric function of a subangle as an approximation for the subangle". Robinson et al do show the claimed feature in Fig. 5.

5. Claim 32 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Maas, III.

Maas, III discloses the invention substantially as claimed, e.g., see "SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION", especially col. 3, lines 16-35, " ...converting the first and second centered images from <u>Cartesian coordinates</u> to produce first and second polar centered images; and estimating the correction angle for the first and second images by <u>estimating a correction angle</u> for the first and second polar centered <u>images</u> according to the methods ...". Therefore, these features are considered the claimed "<u>determining</u> at least <u>two subangles</u>, the combination of which subangles represent the polar angle ". It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to design the claimed invention according to Maas, III's teachings because the system having is a <u>Cartesian coordinates</u> to polar coordinates device as claimed.

6. Claims 33-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Maas, III as applied to claim 32 above, and further in view of Fox et al (Applicants admission Prior Art).

As per claim 33, the claim adds "memory device". Fox et al do show a Sine/Cosine generator having ROMs. It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Fox et al "ROMs" in Maas, III, thereby making the claimed invention, because the proposed system is a

Application/Control Number: 09/698,249 Page 4

Art Unit: 2124

1

Cartesian coordinates to polar coordinates device having memory device as claimed.

As per claim 34, the claim adds "using a trigonometric function of a subangle as an approximation for the subangle". Fox et al do show the claimed feature.

- 7. Claims 35-36 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Cited references are art of interest.
- 9. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: the recorded references do NOT teach or suggest: (1) the detail features as recited in independent claims 1, 8 and 16; and (2) the detail features as recited in dependent claims 34-35.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tan V. Mai whose telephone number is (703) 305-9761. The examiner can normally be reached on Tue-Fri from 6:30am to 5:00pm.

Application/Control Number: 09/698,249

Art Unit: 2124

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kakali Chaki, can be reached on (703) 305-9662. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are:

After-final

(703) 746-7238

Official

(703) 746-7239

Non-Official/Draft (703) 746-7240.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

> TAN V. MAI PRIMARY EXAMINER